School Building Authority of West Virginia

EVALUATION INSTRUMENT

Previous Comprehensive Educational Facility Plan (CEFP)

From \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ To \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**SBA FORM 100-A**

**NOTE: THIS FORM MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR A SIMILAR SBA-APPROVED DIGITAL INSTRUMENT**

West Virginia Code §18-9D-16(G) and West Virginia Board of Education Policy 6200 require all LEAs to submit an objective evaluation of the ten-year Comprehensive Educational Facilities Plan (CEFP). This evaluation shall be completed by the CEFP committee established by the local board to plan the upcoming ten-year plan. The CEFP committee shall be comprised of community members and professional staff from each high school attendance area. The committee members will familiarize themselves with the state board requirements of the plan and the current CEFP prior to completing this evaluation form. All amendments to the plan since the inception of the previous ten-year plan will be objectively evaluated for their effectiveness and completeness of projects within that plan. The following instrument should be used to evaluate the previous CEFP and also be used as a means to improve future plans.

**(1 = Poor Rating; 3 = Adequately met the need or requirement; 5 = Excellent Rating)**

1. Did the CEFP contain all data required in State Board Policy 6200?

1 2 3 4 5

2. Was the data sufficient to allow prudent long-range planning decisions to be made regarding the educational direction and facility needs necessary to accomplish the desired goals of the ten-year plan?

1 2 3 4 5

3. Was the original plan significantly amended during the ten-year cycle? Yes \_\_\_\_\_No\_\_\_\_\_

If the original plan was altered:

1. Did alternations in the plan generally prove to be positive changes?

1 2 3 4 5

(b) Did the amended plan effectively improve the LEA’s ability to deliver the educational programs?

1 2 3 4 5

(c) Were the amendments generally educationally motivated?

1 2 3 4 5

4. Were local and SBA funds used effectively for individual school projects that further the overall

goals of the plan and the goals of the SBA as defined in 18-9D-16(d)?

1 2 3 4 5

5. To what degree has/will the projects identified in the upcoming ten-year plan be effectively completed during this ten-year planning period?

25% 50% 70% 80% 85% 90% 95% 100%

Comments relative to the major issues (positive and negative) that led to the conclusion of the evaluation committee in Items 1 thru 5. (Additional comments may be attached)

Comments relative to improving the plan to be developed for the upcoming ten-year planning cycle.
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List Committee Members below:

Name Role / Position

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Committee Chairperson Date
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